UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/752,652 | 01/07/2004 | Barry G. Anderson | 015005-9450-00 | 4091 |
| | 7590 03/11/200 ST & FRIEDRICH LL | EXAMINER | | |
| = | ISIN AVENUE | ANDERSON, CATHARINE L | | |
| MILWAUKEE | , WI 53202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3761 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/11/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/752,652 | ANDERSON ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | |

| ' | Lyffile Affaersoff | 3701 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | rs on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods: | plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance v | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing control of the period for th | late of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exterunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho | nsion and the corresponding amount cortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | an three months after the mailing date | e of the final rejection, e | ven if timely filed, |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia | ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two month: | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with | ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but They raise new issues that would require further cons | | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | E below), | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette | • | lucing or simplifying tl | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co | rresponding number of finally reie | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 | | otou olamio. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 | , | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ | | | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | actors or on the data of filing a No | tion of Annual will not | be entered |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but on See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P | TO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| /Tatyana Zalukaeva/ | | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761 | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment includes limitations that further narrow the scope of the claims, and therefore will require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that Bemis does not disclose a support member that is directly connected to the swingarm, it is noted that this limitation is presented in the proposed amendment, which has not been entered.

In response to the applicant's argument that Bemis also does not disclose the swingarm having thereon a support member, or including a support member, it is noted that the support member of Bemis is in direct contact with the swingarm when the swingarm is in the lowered position, as shown in figure 16. Since the present claims merely require the swingarm to include or have thereon the support member, and do not claim a direct connection between the swingarm and the support member, the temporary connection between the swingarm and the support member of Bemis fulfills the limitations of the claims.